From:
To: East Anglia ONE North; East Anglia Two

Cc:
Subject: EA1N AND EA2 PROJECTS – OBJECTION TO EXTENSION OF THE EXAMINATIONS

Date: 15 April 2021 18:38:13

Dear Sirs/Madam

Reference Numbers 20024331 and 20024332

My wife and I find it necessary to once again write to object to the process of these examinations. Specifically now concerning the totally unfair and biased extensions which have been granted - ostensibly on the grounds of Covid restrictions. The extensions will give Scottish Power Renewables the time to plug some of the gaps in their case (and their basic lack of any detailed investigative work - "evidence") which have hitherto been exposed by the campaigns by SEAS (and others). The SEAS (and others) campaign moved ahead strongly during the Covid period, and we cannot see why SPR couldn't have moved as speedily. I understand that he campaign has drained SEAS, etc financially and they are therefore depleted in their ability to campaign effectively much further. SEAS of course do not have the financial fire-power of SPR, and now the balance in the campaigns has been rendered totally unfair and biased by the proposed extensions. This should not be permitted in a fair and democratic examinations process - which now it will not be.

We notice, with regard to the lack of proper "evidence" collection to date mentioned in the last paragraph, that SPR (and National Grid) have now just started to carry out test drillings (and an off-shore rig) and other investigative work, with roads being closed and other traffic restrictions put in place. This is all very worrying for the community who feel that they have already put their best-foot forward in terms of their campaign, only to find that the goal-posts have been moved very much in favour of SPR, etc. We feel we are being trampled over by 'Big Business' and that the process of examination of the cases has been compromised by the extensions granted by the SOS.

We urge parties to reconsider the extensions in order to accommodate fair, democratic and reasonable debate.

Yours,

Simon Seymour-Taylor